



GERMAN DELEGATION

2049<sup>th</sup> COREPER I

April 21<sup>st</sup> 2004

**Agenda item 26: Directive on the patentability of computer-implemented inventions**

**Article 2 lit. b**

“(b) “technical contribution” means a contribution to the state of the art in a technical field, which is new and not obvious to a person skilled in the art. The technical contribution shall be assessed by consideration of the difference between the state of the art and the scope of the patent claim, considered as a whole, which must comprise technical features, irrespective of whether or not these are accompanied by non-technical features, whereby the technical features must predominate. The use of natural forces to control physical effects beyond the digital representation of information belongs to a technical field. The mere processing, handling, and presentation of information do not belong to a technical field, even where technical devices are employed for such purposes.”

GERMAN DELEGATION

2049<sup>th</sup> COREPER I

April 21<sup>st</sup> 2004

**Agenda item 26: Directive on the patentability of computer-implemented inventions**

The German Delegation suggests to delete recital (13) and to rephrase recital (13a) as follows:

"(13a) ~~However, the~~ The mere implementation of an otherwise unpatentable method on an apparatus such as a computer is not in itself sufficient to warrant a finding that a technical contribution is present. Accordingly, a computer-implemented business method, data processing method or other method in which the only contribution to the state of the art is non-technical cannot constitute a patentable invention."